

आयुक्त का कार्यालय Office of the Commissioner

केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय Central GST, Appeals Ahmedabad Commissionerate जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी, अहमदाबाद-380015

GST Bhavan, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad-380015 Phone: 079-26305065 - Fax: 079-26305136 E-Mail: commrappl1-cexamd@nic.in

Website: www.cgstappealahmedabad.gov.in



By SPEED POST

DIN: - 20240164SW000000F50A

(क)	फ़ाइल संख्या / File No.	GAPPL/COM/STP/4287/2023 1628 - 1633			
(ख)	अपील आदेश संख्याऔर दिनांक / Order-In –Appeal and date	AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-246/2023-24 and 30.01.2024			
(ग)	पारित किया गया / Passed By	श्री ज्ञानचंद जैन, आयुक्त (अपील) Shri Gyan Chand Jain, Commissioner (Appeals)			
(ঘ)	जारी करने की दिनांक / Date of Issue	31.01.2024			
(ङ)	Arising out of Order-In-Original No. 199/WS03/AC/CSM/ /2022-23 dated 15.03.2023 passed by The Deputy Commissioner, Central GST, Division III, Ahmedabad South.				
(च)	अपीलकर्ता का नाम और पता / Name and Address of the Appellant	M/s Hotel Radiant, Prop. Alpaben Harshad Kumar Gandhi, Near Khodiyar Temple, Paldi Gam Paldi, Ahmedabad-380006.			

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील-आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील अथवा पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है, जैसा कि ऐसे आदेश के विरुद्ध हो सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way.

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन:-

Revision application to Government of India:

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली: 110001 को की जानी चाहिए:-

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:

(क) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानिकार खाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार मे हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है। In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

(घ) अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं 2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(2) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतरमूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ का मुख्य शीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(3) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम होतो रूपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्नरकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवा कर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीलः-Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गतः-Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-
- (2) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2nd माला, बहुमाली भवन, असरवा, गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद-380004।

To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2ndfloor, Bahumali Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad: 380004. In case of appeals other than as mentioned above para.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संषोधित की अनुसूची -1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूलआदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रतिपर रू 6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) एके प्रति अपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्यमांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवाकर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा कर्तव्य की मांग (Duty Demanded)।

- (1) खंड (Section) 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (2) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशिय;
- (3) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि।

यह पूर्व जमा ' लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना मेंए अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994).

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

(6) (i) इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

ORDER-IN-APPEAL

The present appeal has been filed by M/s. Hotel Radiant, Prop. Alpaben Harshad Kumar Gandhi, Near Khodiyar Temple, Paldi Gam Paldi, Ahmedabad-380006 (hereinafter referred to as the "appellant") against Order-in-Original No. 199/WS03/AC/CSM//2022-23 dated 15.03.2023 (hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order") passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Central GST, Division III, Ahmedabad South (hereinafter referred to as "the adjudicating authority").

2. Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the Appellant were holding Service Tax Registration No. AAWPG4173ESD001. On scrutiny of the data received from the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT), it was noticed that the appellant had substantial service income; however they did not pay service tax thereon. On the basis of the data received from Income tax department for the F.Y. 2015-16 income earned by the appellant in the said period is as under:

Sr.	Period	Income earned	Total value	Differential	ST short
No.	(F.Y.)	in Rs.	(STR)	value	paid
1.	2015-16	71,74,459	19,03,946	52,70,513	7,64,224

- 2.1 Subsequently, the appellant were issued Show Cause Notice No. V/WS07/V/O & A/SCN-1009/2015-16/REG/2020 dated 24.12.2020 wherein:
- a) Demand and recover an amount of Rs. 7,64,224/- under proviso to Sub Section (1) of Section 73 of the Act along with interest under section 75 of the Finance Act 1994 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act').



- b) Impose penalty under the provisions of Section 70, 77(1)(c) 77(2) and 78 of the Act.
- 2.2 The Show Cause Notice was adjudicated vide the impugned order by the adjudicating authority wherein:
- a) The demand of service tax amounting to Rs. 7,64,224/- was confirmed under section 73(1) of the Act by invoking extended period along with interest under section 75 of the Act.
- b) Penalty amounting to Rs. 10,000/- was imposed under section 77(1) of the Act.
- c) Penalty amounting to Rs. 7,64,224/- was imposed under 78 of the Act.
- 3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, the appellant have preferred the present appeal, inter alia, on the following grounds:-
 - > That the appellant have already produced Audited Financial Statement i.e. Balance Sheet and Profit and Loss Account, Income Tax Return and Bank Statement But Learned Adjudicating authority has failed to mention and that they have taken decision without considering our submission (a) All Original Invoice and was kept with concerned officer for almost 15 days (b) Ledger Confirmation received from the Hotel Swagat and Fortune Enterprise.
 - > That the copy of Acknowledgement of letter dated 24.02.2023 produced in the office of adjudicating authority has been produced.
- 4. Personal hearing in the case was held on 09.01.2024. Shri Sanket Shah, CA appeared on behalf of the appellant for personal hearing and reiterated the written submission.

- 5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, grounds of appeal, submissions made in the Appeal Memorandum and documents available on record. The issue to be decided in the present appeal is whether the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, confirming the demand of service tax against the Appellant along with interest and penalty, in the facts and circumstance of the case, is legal and proper or otherwise. The demand pertains to the period F.Y. 2015-16.
- As per the para 22 & 23 of impugned order the adjudicating 6. authority find that the appellant failed to provide invoices or bills for services related to hotel accommodations below a tariff rate of Rs. 1000/-. Consequently, the gross value of Rs. 35,85,963/- for the financial year 2015-16 could not be quantified, leading to a demand for service tax amounting to Rs. 5,19,965. Additionally, the declared income of Rs. 16,84,450/- in respect of share income of the partners, lacks supporting documents to establish it as such, resulting in another demand for service tax of Rs. 2,44,259/-. However, the appellant assert in their submission that the Audited Financial Statement, including the Balance Sheet and Profit and Loss Account, along with the Income tax return and Bank statement were presented before the adjudicating authority, however they omitted to acknowledge the submission of (a) all original invoice held by the adjudicating authority, and (b) Ledger confirmation received from Hotel Swagat and Fortune Enterprise and forwarded by the acknowledged letter dated 24.02.2023 to the adjudicating authority.
- 7. I find that the appellant submitted various documents in support of their claim for exemption from service tax, which was not acknowledged by them before the adjudicating authority. In this regard, I am of the considered view that the appellant cannot seek to

establish their eligibility for exemption at the appellate stage by bypassing the adjudicating authority. They should have been heared by verifying the relevant records and documents lying before the adjudicating authority, who is best placed to verify the authenticity of the documents as well as their eligibility for exemption.

- 8. Considering the facts of the case as discussed hereinabove and in the interest of justice, I am of the considered view that the case is required to be remanded back to the adjudicating authority to examine the case on merits and also to consider the claim of the appellant for exemption from the service tax. The adjudicating authority shall after considering the records and documents submitted by the appellant decide the case afresh by following the principles of natural justice.
- In view of the above discussion, I remand the matter back to 9. the adjudicating authority to reconsider the issue a fresh and pass a speaking order after following the principles of natural justice.

अपील कर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है |

The appeal filed by the Appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

आयुक्त (अपील्स)

अधीक्षके (अपील्स) सी.जी.एस.टी, अहमदाबाद

BY RPAD/ SPEED POST

To
M/s. Hotel Radiant,
Prop. Alpaben Harshad Kumar Gandhi,
Near Khodiyar Temple,
Paldi Gam Paldi,
Ahmedabad-380006.

Copy to:

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone.
- 2. The Principal Commissioner Central GST, Ahmedabad South.
- 3. The Deputy Commissioner, CGST, Division III, Ahmedabad South
- 4. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner (RRA), Ahmedabad South
- 5. The Asstt. Commissioner (HQ System) Central GST, Ahmedabad South (for uploading the OIA).
- 16. Guard File.
 - 7. P.A. File.

